

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUnited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,031	11/24/2003		Robert G. Parsons	7098.US.P1	4754
23492	7590	10/24/2006		EXAMINER	
ROBERT			GITOMER, RALPH J		
ABBOTT L 100 ABBOT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DEPT. 377/	DEPT. 377/AP6A				
ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008				DATE MAILED: 10/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/721,031	PARSONS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ralph Gitomer	1655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI c, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-106 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-94 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 95-106 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyartion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

Applicant's election with traverse of Group V, claims 95-106 in the reply filed on 8/14/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be a burden to search all Groups. This is not found persuasive because each of the groups are distinct inventions.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Please inform the examiner as to how the present CIP application differs from the parent application to properly determine the priority date.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not directed to the presently claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Please inform the examiner of all related applications, patented, pending or abandoned.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 95-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Bergmann in view of each of Chen and Flaa.

Bergmann (5,541,116) entitled "Method for the Stabilization of Endogenous, Physiologically Active Peptides" teaches in column 2 line 21, EDTA, and on lines 38-39, ANP. In column 3 lines 20-24, EDTA is a protease inhibitor and a buffer is added. In column 4 line 26 a phosphate buffer is employed. In column 5 line 2 aprotinin is shown.

The claims differ from Bergmann in that they specify a pH range and some of the dependent claims include additional stabilizing substances.

Chen (6,525,102 B1) entitled "Stabilized Liquid Polypeptide Containing Pharmaceutical Compositions" teaches in column 1 line 28, pH affects stability of polypeptides. In column 2 lines 24-29, buffers are described. In column 2 line 40 EDTA is shown to increase stability of polypeptides. In column 10 first paragraph, pH ranges of 5-6.5 are taught regarding the pH optimum for stability of a particular polypeptide of interest. In column 24 lines 25-37, how the optimum pH was determined is shown.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1655

Flaa (WO 96/27661) entitled "Stabilizing Solutions for Proteins and Peptides" teaches on page 7 last paragraph, a composition for stabilizing proteins containing buffer, albumin, chelating agent, reducing agent at a mildly alkaline pH. On page 8 the stabilizing protein may be albumin or casein. On page 9 protease inhibitors and preservatives may be used. On page 9 line 9 phosphate buffers are shown. On page 10 line 5 BSA is taught. On page 10 line 25 EDTA is seen. On page 11 line 10, the pH range is 5-7.5. On page 11 line 15 aprotinin is shown along with other known protease inhibitors.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to stabilize natriuretic peptides as taught by Bergmann at the pH ranges and with the additional substances taught by each of Chen and Flaa because both Chen and Flaa teach stabilizing various polypeptides for the same function as claimed at the pH range and with the substances as presently claimed. To stabilize any polypeptide with a pH range and substances known to stabilize polypeptides with the expected results would have been obvious. No novelty is seen in the claimed compounds such as sodium hydroxide in obtaining a desired pH range or to make a buffer solution.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0916. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,031 Page 5

Art Unit: 1655

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on (571) 272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ralph Gitomer
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1655

RALPH GITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200